(1)

(3)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 123 /2005

PETITIONER

M/s S.L. Goyal, through – Power of Attorney Holder, Vivek Mishra, son of Shri S.K. Mishra, aged about 29 years, resident of Gondpara, Bilaspur (C.G.)

124/05

R.R. No. ..... Presented by Shri Rateesh .....
dated 11.01.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, New Delhi, through : Its Chief Managing Director A-601, Statesman House Barakhambha Road, Delhi.

2. The Chief General Manager, Telecom, Chhattisgarh Telecom Circle, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Near Secretariate, G.E. Road, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3. The General Manager, Telecom Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bilaspur, (Bilaspuir Telecom District) Link Road, City Telephone Exchange, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

4. The Divisional ~~Officer~~ Engineer (Planning) Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bilaspur (CG)

5. The Sub Divisional Engineer (Telegraph) Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, GMTD, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

~~9~~ (4)

6. The Sub Divisional Engineer, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Pendra Road, Distt. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC—78

(47)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 123/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	27.1.2005 Shri Prateek Sharma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Standing counsel for the respondents. This petition pertains to the issue that even after execution of the work by the petitioner as per orders of the respondents' payment is not being made to him. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that several representations have been made to the respondents by the petitioner but no answer is being given in yes or no by them, therefore, the petitioner has left with no remedy and perforce, he has filed this petition. Counsel further submits that in the given situation, the respondents concerned may be directed to consider the representations of the petitioner and pass appropriate order. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having regard to the facts and circumstance of the case, respondent No.2 & 3 are directed to consider the representations made by the petitioner and after considering all the points raised by him decide the same within a period of thirty days in accordance with law. It is made clear that if the said representations are not available with the respondents then the petitioner can file the copies of the earlier representations before the respondents within a period of ten days. With the aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No.109/05, 288/05 & 289/05 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	**Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**